

THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO REDUCE AND ALLOW CERTAIN FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. PARTIES RECEIVING THIS NOTICE OF THE THREE HUNDRED SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS SHOULD REVIEW THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO SEE IF THEIR NAME(S) AND/OR CLAIM(S) ARE LOCATED IN THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION AND/OR IN THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED THERETO TO DETERMINE WHETHER THIS OBJECTION AFFECTS THEIR CLAIM(S).

**IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.'S COUNSEL,
TERESA BRADY, AT 212-310-8093.**

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
Jacqueline Marcus
Penny P. Reid
Ralph I. Miller

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	
In re	: Chapter 11 Case No.
	:
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al.,	: 08-13555 (JMP)
	:
Debtors.	: (Jointly Administered)
-----X	

**NOTICE OF HEARING ON THREE HUNDRED SECOND
OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (VALUED DERIVATIVE CLAIMS)**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 14, 2012, Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI” and the “Plan Administrator”), as Plan Administrator, under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors for the entities in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases filed the three hundred second omnibus

objection to claims (the “Three Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims”), and that a hearing (the “Hearing”) to consider the Three Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims will be held before the Honorable James M. Peck, United States Bankruptcy Judge, in Courtroom 601 of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, on **June 28, 2012 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern Time)**, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any responses to the Three Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims must be in writing, shall conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court, and shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court (a) electronically in accordance with General Order M-399 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov) by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court’s filing system, and (b) by all other parties in interest, on a 3.5 inch disk, preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format (with a hard copy delivered directly to Chambers), in accordance with General Order M-182 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov), and served in accordance with General Order M-399, and on (i) the chambers of the Honorable James M. Peck, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, Courtroom 601; (ii) attorneys for LBHI, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153 (Attn: Jacqueline Marcus, Esq., Penny Reid, Esq., and Ralph Miller, Esq.); and (iii) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York, 33 Whitehall Street, 21st Floor, New York, New York 10004 (Attn: Tracy Hope Davis, Esq., Elisabetta Gasparini, Esq. and Andrea Schwartz, Esq.); so as to be so filed and received by no later than **June 14, 2012 at 4:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)** (the “Response Deadline”).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if no responses are timely filed and served with respect to the Three Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims or any claim set forth thereon, the Plan Administrator may, on or after the Response Deadline, submit to the Bankruptcy Court an order substantially in the form of the proposed order annexed to the Three Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims, which order may be entered with no further notice or opportunity to be heard offered to any party.

Dated: May 14, 2012
New York, New York

/s/ Jacqueline Marcus
Jacqueline Marcus
Penny P. Reid
Ralph I. Miller

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
Jacqueline Marcus
Penny P. Reid
Ralph I. Miller

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
In re : Chapter 11 Case No.
:
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., *et al.*, : 08-13555 (JMP)
:
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
-----X

**THREE HUNDRED SECOND OMNIBUS
OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (VALUED DERIVATIVE CLAIMS)**

**THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO REDUCE AND ALLOW CERTAIN
FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. PARTIES RECEIVING THIS THREE
HUNDRED SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS
SHOULD REVIEW THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO SEE IF THEIR
NAME(S) AND/OR CLAIM(S) ARE LOCATED IN THE OMNIBUS
OBJECTION AND/OR IN THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED THERETO
TO DETERMINE WHETHER THIS OBJECTION AFFECTS THEIR
CLAIM(S).**

**IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.'S COUNSEL,
TERESA BRADY, AT 212-310-8093.**

TO THE HONORABLE JAMES M. PECK
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI” and the “Plan Administrator”),
as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of
Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors (the “Plan”) for the entities in
the above referenced chapter 11 cases, (collectively, the “Chapter 11 Estates”),
respectfully represents:

Relief Requested

1. The Plan Administrator files this three hundred second omnibus
objection to claims (the “Three Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims”)
pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”),
Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”),
and this Court’s order approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs
of claim filed in these chapter 11 cases (the “Procedures Order”) [Docket No. 6664],
seeking entry of an order reducing and allowing the claims listed on Exhibit A annexed
hereto.

2. The Plan Administrator has examined the proofs of claim
identified on Exhibit A and has determined that the proofs of claim listed on Exhibit A
(collectively, the “Valued Derivative Claims”) should be reduced and allowed on the
basis that the amounts listed on the proofs of claim are greater than the fair, accurate, and
reasonable values determined by the Plan Administrator after a review of the claimant’s
supporting documentation and the books and records of LBHI and Lehman Brothers
Special Financing Inc. (“LBSF”). The Plan Administrator, therefore, requests that the

Court reduce, as appropriate, each such claim to the amount listed on Exhibit A under the column heading “*Modified Amount*”; and allow each such claim only to the extent of such modified amount.

3. The Plan Administrator reserves all its rights to object on any other basis to any Valued Derivative Claim as to which the Court does not grant the relief requested herein.

Jurisdiction

4. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

Background

5. Commencing on September 15, 2008 and periodically thereafter, LBHI and certain of its subsidiaries commenced with this Court voluntary cases under chapter 11 of title 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. These chapter 11 cases have been consolidated for procedural purposes only and are being jointly administered pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

6. On July 2, 2009, this Court entered an order setting forth procedures for filing proofs of claim in these chapter 11 cases, including procedures for filing proofs of claim and supporting documentation for claims based on derivative contracts (the “Bar Date Order”) [Docket No. 4271]. The Bar Date Order provided that “each holder of a claim against a Debtor based on amounts owed pursuant to any Derivative Contract must: . . . complete the electronic Derivative Questionnaire [and] electronically upload supporting documentation on the website” (Bar Date Ord. at 7.) The Bar Date Order further provided that “each holder of a claim against a Debtor

based on a Guarantee by a Debtor of the obligations of a non-Debtor entity under a Derivative Contract must [also]: . . . complete the electronic Guarantee Questionnaire and electronically upload supporting documentation on the website” (*Id.* at 8.) A copy of the Bar Date Order was made publicly available at <http://www.lehman-docket.com>.

7. Exhibit C to the Bar Date Order was a version of the Derivative Questionnaire, which required that the claimant provide various information in support of its claim, such as copies of relevant agreements; a copy of the termination notice; a valuation statement; individual trade-level detail; trade value methodology and quotations; and unpaid amounts, collateral, and other costs associated with the claim pursuant to the derivative contract. Also attached to the Bar Date Order was Exhibit D, a version of the Guarantee Questionnaire setting forth the information forming the basis of the claimant’s assertions of a guarantee.

8. On January 14, 2010, the Court entered the Procedures Order, which authorizes the filing of omnibus objections to no more than 500 claims at a time, on various grounds, including those set forth in Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) and those additional grounds set forth in the Procedures Order.

9. On December 6, 2011, the Court approved and entered an order confirming the Plan [Docket No. 23023]. The Plan became effective on March 6, 2012.

10. Pursuant to the Plan, the Plan Administrator is authorized to interpose and prosecute objections to claims filed against the Chapter 11 Estates.

The Valued Derivative Claims Should Be Reduced and Allowed

11. In reviewing the claims filed on the claims register in these cases and maintained by the Court-appointed claims agent, the Plan Administrator has

identified the claims on Exhibit A as being claims that should be reduced and allowed on the basis that the amounts listed on the proofs of claim are greater than the fair, accurate, and reasonable values determined by the Plan Administrator, after a review of the claimant's supporting documentation and the books and records of LBHI and LBSF.

12. A filed proof of claim is "deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects." 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). If an objection refuting at least one of the claim's essential allegations is asserted, the claimant has the burden to demonstrate the validity of the claim. *See In re Oneida Ltd.*, 400 B.R. 384, 389 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009); *In re Adelphia Commc'ns Corp.*, Ch. 11 Case No. 02-41729 (REG), 2007 Bankr. LEXIS 660 at *15 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Feb. 20, 2007); *In re Rockefeller Ctr. Props.*, 272 B.R. 524, 539 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2000).

13. The Valued Derivative Claims listed on Exhibit A should be reduced and allowed in the amount listed on Exhibit A under the column heading "*Modified Amount*" because the asserted claim amount is greater than the fair, accurate, and reasonable value of the claim as determined by the Plan Administrator after a review of the supporting documentation provided by the claimants and the LBHI and LBSF books and records.

14. The Plan Administrator has developed and currently utilizes a thorough, multi-step process to review claims filed against LBHI and LBSF and based on a Derivative Contract¹ ("Derivative Claims") in order to determine the fair, accurate, and reasonable value of such claims, for purposes of settlement (the "Proposed Settlement").

¹ "Derivative Contract" is defined in the Bar Date Order as meaning "any contract that is any of (i) a 'swap agreement' as such term is defined in section 101(53B) of the Bankruptcy Code or (ii) a 'forward contract' as such term is defined in section 101(25) of the Bankruptcy Code" (*See* Bar Date Ord. at 6.)

Amount”). In order to determine the Proposed Settlement Amount, the Plan Administrator: (i) collects and reviews documents related to the relevant Derivative Claim including, but not limited to, the relevant Derivative Questionnaire and/or Guarantee Questionnaire, the termination notice, and the valuation statement; (ii) reconciles posted collateral and any cash payments already received, made, or missed; and (iii) reviews the valuation methodology used by the claimant to determine the value of the claim, including verifying the legitimacy of quotes provided by the claimant in connection with its valuation statement, reviewing claimant’s “loss” calculation, and evaluating any set-off claims.² In its efforts to determine the Proposed Settlement Amount, the Plan Administrator, to the extent the holder is willing to do so, engages in lengthy negotiations with the holder of the Derivative Claim that are often very detailed and may extend over a period of months.

15. The Plan Administrator has undertaken this lengthy process with respect to each of the Valued Derivative Claims listed on Exhibit A, and has concluded that a fair, accurate, and reasonable valuation of the Valued Derivative Claim is less than that reflected on the proof of claim submitted by the claimant. Despite the Plan Administrator’s efforts at negotiating this Proposed Settlement Amount, the Plan Administrator and the holders of the Valued Derivative Claims have reached an impasse. Holders of the Valued Derivative Claims should not be allowed to recover more than the

² For a more comprehensive discussion of the valuation process, please see the Declaration of Gary H. Mandelblatt in Support of Debtors’ Motion Pursuant to Section 502(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3003(c)(3) for Establishment of the Deadline for Filing Proofs of Claim, Approval of the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof and Approval of the Proof of Claim Form (attached as “Exhibit C” to Debtors’ Omnibus Reply to Objections to Motion of the Debtors, Pursuant to Section 502(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3003(c)(3), for Establishment of the Deadline for Filing Proofs of Claim, Approval of the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof and Approval of the Proof of Claim Form [Docket No. 4113]).

true and proper value of their claims. Accordingly, in order to properly reflect the fair, accurate, and reasonable value of these claims, the Plan Administrator requests that the Court reduce each Valued Derivative Claim to the amount listed on Exhibit A under the column heading “*Modified Amount*” and allow each such claim only to the extent of such modified amount.

Notice

16. No trustee has been appointed in these chapter 11 cases. The Plan Administrator has served notice of this Three Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims on: (i) the United States Trustee for Region 2; (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iii) the Internal Revenue Service; (iv) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (v) the claimants listed on Exhibit A attached to this Three Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims; and (vi) all other parties entitled to notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered on June 17, 2010 governing case management and administrative procedures for these cases [Docket No. 9635]. The Plan Administrator submits that no other or further notice need be provided.

17. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Plan Administrator or the Chapter 11 Estates to this or any other Court.

WHEREFORE the Plan Administrator respectfully requests entry of an order granting the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: May 14, 2012
New York, New York

/s/ Jacqueline Marcus

Jacqueline Marcus

Penny P. Reid

Ralph I. Miller

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

767 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10153

Telephone: (212) 310-8000

Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings
Inc. and Certain of Its Affiliates

EXHIBIT A

IN RE LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL., CASE NO: 08-13555 (JMP)

OMNIBUS OBJECTION 302: EXHIBIT A - VALUED DERIVATIVES CLAIMS

ASSERTED							MODIFIED		
	NAME	CLAIM #	FILED DATE	CHAPTER 11 ESTATE	CLASS	AMOUNT	CHAPTER 11 ESTATE	CLASS	AMOUNT
1	EXCO OPERATING COMPANY, LP C/O ROBERT D. ALBERGOTTI HAYNES & BOONE LLP 2323 VICTORY AVENUE, SUITE 700 DALLAS, TX 75219	16479	09/18/2009	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	Unsecured	\$474,500.00*	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	Unsecured	\$474,500.00
2	EXCO OPERATING COMPANY, LP C/O ROBERT D. ALBERGOTTI HAYNES & BOONE LLP 2323 VICTORY AVENUE, SUITE 700 DALLAS, TX 75219	17292	09/18/2009	Lehman Brothers Commodity Services Inc.	Unsecured	\$474,500.00*	Lehman Brothers Commodity Services Inc.	Unsecured	\$474,500.00
3	SEMPRA ENERGY SOLUTIONS LLC C/O SEMPRA ENERGY TRADING LLC ATTN: RICHARD BEITLER, SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT & DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL 600 WASHINGTON BOULEVARD STAMFORD, CT 06901	15854	09/17/2009	Lehman Brothers Commodity Services Inc.	Unsecured	\$253,405.00*	Lehman Brothers Commodity Services Inc.	Unsecured	\$253,405.00

IN RE LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL., CASE NO: 08-13555 (JMP)

OMNIBUS OBJECTION 302: EXHIBIT A - VALUED DERIVATIVES CLAIMS

			ASSERTED				MODIFIED		
	NAME	CLAIM #	FILED DATE	CHAPTER 11 ESTATE	CLASS	AMOUNT	CHAPTER 11 ESTATE	CLASS	AMOUNT
4	SEMPRA ENERGY SOLUTIONS LLC C/O SEMPRA ENERGY TRADING LLC ATTN: RICHARD BEITLER, SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT & DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL 600 WASHINGTON BOULEVARD STAMFORD, CT 06901	15855	09/17/2009	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	Unsecured	\$253,405.00*	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	Unsecured	\$253,405.00
5	SPCP GROUP, LLC TRANSFEROR: ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND PLC, THE ATTN: BRIAN A. JARMAIN 2 GREENWICH PLAZA, 1ST FLOOR GREENWICH, CT 06830	26527	09/22/2009	Lehman Brothers OTC Derivatives Inc.	Unsecured	\$140,845.36*	Lehman Brothers OTC Derivatives Inc.	Unsecured	\$58,845.00
TOTAL						\$1,596,655.36	TOTAL		\$1,514,655.00

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	
In re	: Chapter 11 Case No.
	:
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	: 08-13555 (JMP)
	:
Debtors.	: (Jointly Administered)
-----X	

**ORDER GRANTING THREE HUNDRED SECOND
OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (VALUED DERIVATIVE CLAIMS)**

Upon the three hundred second omnibus objection to claims, dated May 14, 2012 (the “Three Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims”),¹ of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI” and the “Plan Administrator”), as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors (the “Plan”), pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and this Court’s order approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs of claim [Docket No. 6664] (the “Procedures Order”), seeking to reduce and allow the Valued Derivative Claims, as more fully described in the Three Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims; and due and proper notice of the Three Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims having been provided to (i) the United States Trustee for Region 2; (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iii) the Internal Revenue Service; (iv) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (v) the claimants listed on Exhibit A attached to the Three Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims; and (vi) all other parties entitled to notice in accordance

¹ Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Three Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims.

with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered on June 17, 2010 governing case management and administrative procedures for these cases [Docket No. 9653]; and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Three Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims is in the best interests of the Chapter 11 Estates, their creditors, and all parties in interest and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Three Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefore, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Three Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that each Valued Derivative Claim listed on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto is hereby modified and allowed in the amount set forth on Exhibit 1 under the column heading "Modified Amount" and any asserted amount in excess of the modified amount is disallowed; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order has no res judicata, estoppel, or other effect on the validity, allowance, or disallowance of, and all rights to object and defend on any basis are expressly reserved with respect to any claim listed on Exhibit A to the Three Hundred Second Omnibus Objection to Claims that does not appear on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: _____, 2012
New York, New York

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE